

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 892 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

MODI DINESHKUMAR BHOGILAL

Versus

SHAH HASMUKHKUMAR CHANDULAL

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 892 of 1998
MR RC JANI for Petitioner No. 1-2
MR KB PUJARA for Respondent No. 1
-

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 23/04/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

It is stated by the learned advocate for the petitioners that the suit is already decided, therefore, this revision has become infructuous. In this behalf

copy of a letter received by the learned advocate of the respondent is produced by him, as the same was given to him by Mr.Pujara, learned advocate for the respondent. At the request of the parties, copy of the said letter is kept on record.

2) Accordingly, this Civil Revision Application is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged. No costs.

(P.B.Majmudar, J.)

/malek